UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 96-7265

WILFORD ANDREW MATHERLY, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

J. C. BASKFIELD, C/O; C. E. DUNMOODIE, Captain; D. R. GUILLORY, Warden; R. ANGELONE, Director; E. MURRAY; W. ROGERS, Regional Administrator; L. JARVIS, Assistant Warden; L. KELLY, Assistant Warden; C. LEWIS, Major; V. WASHINGTON, Treatment Supervisor; D. ANDERSON, Operations Officer; B. CARABALLA, Counselor; M. GROSS, Food Services Supervisor; MONISE SIMONS, Food Service Supervisor; VERNON MAYS, Food Service Supervisor; CARL HUNT, Food Service Supervisor; LEON REED, Food Service Supervisor; NURSE SMITH, Medical Personnel; NURSE HELLER, Medical Personnel; NURSE JONES, Medical Personnel; NURSE WEBB; P. MARLOWE, Nurse; NURSE DERDIVANIS; NURSE JOHNSON; S. HURLEY, Nurse; D. GATELY, Nurse; G. LOGIN, Nurse,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Henry C. Morgan, Jr., District Judge. (CA-94-189-2)

Decided: November 20, 1996 Submitted: November 7, 1996

Before RUSSELL and WIDENER, Circuit Judges, and PHILLIPS, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Allilimed by dispublished per cultam optimo

Wilford Andrew Matherly, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. Pamela Anne Sargent, Assistant Attorney General, Richmond, Virginia; Sandra Morris Holleran, MCGUIRE, WOODS, BATTLE & BOOTHE, L.L.P., Richmond, Virginia; Malcolm Pollard McConnell, III, Anisa Patrice Kelley, COTTER, FISCELLA & MCCONNELL, Glen Allen, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1994) complaint. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Matherly v. Baskfield, No. CA-94-189-2 (E.D. Va. Aug. 5, 1996). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

<u>AFFIRMED</u>